

# ON INTUITIONISTIC GENERALIZED $\alpha$ -CLOSED SETS AND $\alpha$ -OPEN SETS

## ABSTRACT

*The main objective of this paper is to introduce the concepts Intuitionistic generalised  $\alpha$ -closed sets and intuitionistic generalised  $\alpha$ -open sets in intuitionistic topological spaces. Various properties and characteristics are given. ....*

**Keywords:** *Intuitionistic generalised  $\alpha$ -closed Sets,  $\alpha$ -open Sets.*

### Introduction and Preliminaries

In 1996, Coker[1] introduced the idea of "intuitionistic sets." This is a discrete version of an intuitionistic fuzzy set in which every set is a crisp set. However, it has degrees of membership and non-membership, therefore this idea offers us more adaptable methods for expressing ambiguity in mathematical objects, including those in engineering areas with conventional set logic. The idea of intuitionistic topological spaces based on intuitionistic sets, which is a traditional version of the idea in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, was also introduced by Coker[2] in 2000. He also looked at compactness and the fundamental characteristics of continuous functions. There are already a number of pertinent papers in the literature.

In this work, we summarise several ideas and findings from [1,2].

**Definition 1.1:** [1] Consider a nonempty fixed set  $X$ . An intuitionistic set (IS for short)  $\tilde{S}$  is an object having the form

$$\tilde{S} = \langle X, S_1, S_2 \rangle$$

where  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are subsets of  $X$  satisfying  $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$ . The set  $S_1$  is called the set of members of  $\tilde{S}$ , while  $S_2$  is called the set of nonmembers of  $\tilde{S}$ .

**Example 1.2:** [1] Every subset  $S$  of a nonempty set  $X$  is obviously an IS having the form  $\langle X, S, S^c \rangle$  where  $S^c = X - S$ .

**Definition 1.3:** [1] Consider a nonempty set  $X$ , and let  $\tilde{S} = \langle X, S_1, S_2 \rangle$  and  $\tilde{T} = \langle X, T_1, T_2 \rangle$  be IS's. Then

- (a)  $\tilde{S} \subseteq_{\square} \tilde{T}$  if and only if  $S_1 \subseteq T_1$ ;
- (b)  $\tilde{S} \subseteq_{\diamond} \tilde{T}$  if and only if  $S_2 \supseteq T_2$ ;
- (c)  $\tilde{S} \subseteq \tilde{T}$  if and only if  $\tilde{S} \subseteq_{\square} \tilde{T}$  and  $\tilde{S} \subseteq_{\diamond} \tilde{T}$ ;
- (d)  $\tilde{S} = \tilde{T}$  if and only if  $\tilde{S} \subseteq \tilde{T}$  and  $\tilde{T} \subseteq \tilde{S}$ ;
- (e)  $\tilde{S}^c = \langle X, S_2, S_1 \rangle$  is called the complement of  $\tilde{S}$  or denoted as  $\tilde{S}^c$ ;

### C. VELRAJ

*Research Scholar, Register No. 20221172091005, Department of Mathematics, Rani Anna Govt. Arts College for Women, Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli. TamilNadu, India.*

### Dr. R. JAMUNA RANI

*Assistant Professor of Mathematics, Rani Anna Govt. Arts College for Women, Tirunelveli.*

# ON INTUITIONISTIC GENERALIZED $\alpha$ -CLOSED SETS AND $\alpha$ -OPEN SETS

- (f)  $\tilde{S} \cap \tilde{T} = \langle X, S_1 \cap T_1, S_2 \cup T_2 \rangle$ ;  
 (g)  $\tilde{S} \cup \tilde{T} = \langle X, S_1 \cup T_1, S_2 \cap T_2 \rangle$ ;  
 (h)  $\tilde{S} - \tilde{T} = \tilde{S} \cap \overline{\tilde{T}}$ ;

**Definition 1.4:** [1] Consider a nonempty set  $X$  and  $\{\tilde{S}_i: i \in J\}$  be an arbitrary family of IS's in  $X$ , where  $\tilde{S}_i = \langle X, S_i^{(1)}, S_i^{(2)} \rangle$ . Then

- (a)  $\cup \tilde{S}_i = \langle X, \cup S_i^{(1)}, \cap S_i^{(2)} \rangle$  and  
 (b)  $\cap \tilde{S}_i = \langle X, \cap S_i^{(1)}, \cup S_i^{(2)} \rangle$ .

**Definition 1.5:** [1] Consider a nonempty set  $X$ . Then  $\tilde{\phi} = \langle X, \phi, X \rangle$  and  $\tilde{X} = \langle X, X, \phi \rangle$ .

The fundamental properties of inclusion and complementation are as follows:

**Lemma 1.6:** [1] Let  $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}, \tilde{C}$  and  $\tilde{A}_i$  be IS's in  $X$  ( $i \in J$ ). Then

- (a)  $\tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{B}$  and  $\tilde{B} \subseteq \tilde{C} \Rightarrow \tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{C}$   
 (b)  $\tilde{A}_i \subseteq \tilde{B}$  for each  $i \in J \Rightarrow \cup \tilde{A}_i \subseteq \tilde{B}$   
 (c)  $\tilde{B} \subseteq \tilde{A}_i$  for each  $i \in J \Rightarrow \tilde{B} \subseteq \cap \tilde{A}_i$   
 (d)  $\overline{\cup \tilde{A}_i} = \cap \overline{\tilde{A}_i}$   
 (e)  $\overline{\cap \tilde{A}_i} = \cup \overline{\tilde{A}_i}$   
 (f)  $\tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{B} \Leftrightarrow \overline{\tilde{B}} \subseteq \overline{\tilde{A}}$   
 (g)  $\overline{\overline{\tilde{A}}} = \tilde{A}$   
 (h)  $\overline{\tilde{\phi}} = \tilde{X}$   
 (i)  $\overline{\tilde{X}} = \tilde{\phi}$

**Definition 1.7:** [2] An intuitionistic topology  $\tau$  (IT for short) on  $\tilde{X}$  is a family  $\tau$  of IS's in  $\tilde{X}$  satisfying the following axioms:

- (T<sub>1</sub>)  $\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{X} \in \tau$ ,  
 (T<sub>2</sub>)  $\tilde{G}_1 \cap \tilde{G}_2 \in \tau$  for any  $\tilde{G}_1, \tilde{G}_2 \in \tau$

and

- (T<sub>3</sub>)  $\cup \tilde{G}_i \in \tau$  for any arbitrary family  $\{\tilde{G}_i: i \in J\} \subseteq \tau$ .

The pair  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$  is called an intuitionistic topological space (ITS for short) and any IS in  $\tau$  is called an intuitionistic open set (IOS for short) in  $\tilde{X}$ .

**Definition 1.8:** [2] Consider the ITS  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$  and  $\tilde{S} = \langle X, S_1, S_2 \rangle$  be an IS in  $\tilde{X}$ . Then the interior and closure of  $\tilde{S}$  are defined by

$$cl(\tilde{S}) = \cap \{G: G \text{ is an ICS in } X \text{ and } \tilde{S} \subseteq G\} \text{ and}$$

$$int(\tilde{S}) = \cup \{H: H \text{ is an IOS in } X \text{ and } H \subseteq \tilde{S}\}.$$

It is also clear that  $cl(\tilde{S})$  is an ICS and  $int(\tilde{S})$  is an IOS in  $\tilde{X}$ , and  $\tilde{S}$  is an ICS in  $\tilde{X}$  if and only if  $cl(\tilde{S}) = \tilde{S}$ ; and  $\tilde{S}$  is an IOS in  $\tilde{X}$  if and only if  $int(\tilde{S}) = \tilde{S}$ .

**Lemma 1.9:** [2] For any IS  $\tilde{S}$  in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ , we have  $cl(\overline{\tilde{S}}) = \overline{int(\tilde{S})}$  and  $int(\overline{\tilde{S}}) = \overline{cl(\tilde{S})}$

**Lemma 1.10:** [2] Consider the ITS  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$  and  $\tilde{S}, \tilde{T}$  be IS's in  $\tilde{X}$ . Then

- (a)  $int(\tilde{S}) \subseteq \tilde{S}$   
 (b)  $\tilde{S} \subseteq cl(\tilde{S})$   
 (c)  $\tilde{S} \subseteq \tilde{T} \Rightarrow int(\tilde{S}) \subseteq int(\tilde{T})$   
 (d)  $\tilde{S} \subseteq \tilde{T} \Rightarrow cl(\tilde{S}) \subseteq cl(\tilde{T})$   
 (e)  $int(int(\tilde{S})) = int(\tilde{S})$   
 (f)  $cl(cl(\tilde{S})) = cl(\tilde{S})$   
 (g)  $int(\tilde{S} \cap \tilde{T}) = int(\tilde{S}) \cap int(\tilde{T})$   
 (h)  $cl(\tilde{S} \cup \tilde{T}) = cl(\tilde{S}) \cup cl(\tilde{T})$   
 (i)  $int(\tilde{X}) = \tilde{X}$   
 (j)  $cl(\tilde{\phi}) = \tilde{\phi}$

**Definition 1.11:** [5] A subset  $\tilde{S}$  of an intuitionistic topological space  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$  is

# ON INTUITIONISTIC GENERALIZED $\alpha$ -CLOSED SETS AND $\alpha$ -OPEN SETS

said to be *Intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open* (I $\alpha$ O for short) if  $\tilde{S} \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\tilde{S})))$  and  *$\alpha$ -closed* (I $\alpha$ C for short) if  $\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(\tilde{S}))) \subseteq \tilde{S}$ .

The intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -closure of  $\tilde{S}$  is the intersection of all intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -closed sets of  $\tilde{X}$  containing  $\tilde{S}$ , and is represented by  $I\alpha\text{cl}(\tilde{S})$ .

The  $\alpha$ -interior of  $\tilde{S}$  is the union of all intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open sets of  $\tilde{X}$  contained in  $\tilde{S}$ , and is represented by  $I\alpha\text{int}(\tilde{S})$ .

## 2. Intuitionistic Generalised $\alpha$ -closed sets

Here we present intuitionistic generalised  $\alpha$ -closed sets and investigate some of their features.

**Definition 2.1:** Let us consider the ITS  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$  and let  $\tilde{S}$  be a IS in  $\tilde{X}$ . A set  $\tilde{S}$  is called an intuitionistic generalised  $\alpha$ -closed set if  $I\alpha\text{cl}(\tilde{S}) \subseteq \tilde{U}$  whenever  $\tilde{S} \subseteq \tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{U}$  is  $\alpha$ -open in  $\tilde{X}$ .

The family of all intuitionistic generalised  $\alpha$ -closed sets (IG $\alpha$ CS for short) of an ITS  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$  is denoted by  $\text{IG}\alpha\text{C}(\tilde{X})$ .

**Example 2.2:** Consider the set  $X = \{p, q, r\}$  and the family  $\tau = \{\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{P}, \tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}, \tilde{X}\}$  where  $\tilde{P} = \langle X, \{r\}, \{p, q\} \rangle$ ,  $\tilde{Q} = \langle X, \{p\}, \{q, r\} \rangle$ ,  $\tilde{R} = \langle X, \{p, r\}, \{q\} \rangle$ . If  $\tilde{U} = \langle X, \{p, r\}, \{\phi\} \rangle$ , then  $\text{int}(\tilde{U}) = \langle X, \{p, r\}, \{q\} \rangle$ ,  $\text{cl}(\text{int}(\tilde{U})) = \tilde{X}$ ,  $\text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\tilde{U}))) = \tilde{X} \supset \tilde{U}$ . Therefore  $\tilde{U}$  is an I $\alpha$ OS in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ . Let  $\tilde{S} = \langle X, \{p\}, \{q, r\} \rangle$  be an intuitionistic subset of  $\tilde{U}$  in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ .

$I\alpha\text{cl}(\tilde{S}) = \langle X, \{p, q\}, \{r\} \rangle$  and  $I\alpha\text{cl}(\tilde{S}) \subseteq \tilde{U}$ .

Therefore,  $\tilde{S}$  is an intuitionistic generalised  $\alpha$ -closed set in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 2.3:** All ICS in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$  is IG $\alpha$ CS.

**Proof:** The proof is clear.

From Example 2.4, we can show that the converse of the above Theorem 2.3 is false.

**Example 2.4:** Look at the ITS  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$  in the Example 2.2. If  $\tilde{U} = \langle X, \{p, r\}, \{\phi\} \rangle$ , then  $\text{int}(\tilde{U}) = \langle X, \{p, r\}, \{q\} \rangle$ ,  $\text{cl}(\text{int}(\tilde{U})) = \tilde{X}$ ,  $\text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\tilde{U}))) = \tilde{X} \supset \tilde{U}$ . Therefore  $\tilde{U}$  is an I $\alpha$ OS in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ . Let  $\tilde{S} = \langle X, \{1\}, \{3\} \rangle$  be an intuitionistic subset of  $\tilde{U}$  in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ .  $I\alpha\text{cl}(\tilde{S}) = \langle X, \{1, 2\}, \{3\} \rangle$  and  $I\alpha\text{cl}(\tilde{S}) \subseteq \tilde{U}$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{S}$  is an intuitionistic generalised  $\alpha$ -closed set in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ , but not ICS in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ , since,  $\text{cl}(\tilde{S}) = \langle X, \{p, q\}, \{r\} \rangle \neq \tilde{S}$ .

**Theorem 2.5:** Every I $\alpha$ CS in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$  is IG $\alpha$ CS.

**Proof:** The proof is clear.

From Example 2.6, we can show that the converse of the above Theorem 2.5 is false.

**Example 2.6:** Look at the ITS  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$  in the Example 2.2. If  $\tilde{U} = \langle X, \{p, q\}, \{\phi\} \rangle$ , then  $\text{int}(\tilde{U}) = \langle X, \{p\}, \{q, r\} \rangle$ ,  $\text{cl}(\text{int}(\tilde{U})) = \tilde{X}$ ,  $\text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\tilde{U}))) = \tilde{X} \supset \tilde{U}$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{U}$  is an I $\alpha$ OS in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ . Let  $\tilde{S} = \langle X, \{q\}, \{r\} \rangle$  be an intuitionistic

# ON INTUITIONISTIC GENERALIZED $\alpha$ -CLOSED SETS AND $\alpha$ -OPEN SETS

subset of  $\tilde{U}$  in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ .  $Iacl(\tilde{S}) = \langle X, \{p, q\}, \{r\} \rangle$  and  $Iacl(\tilde{S}) \subseteq \tilde{U}$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{S}$  is an intuitionistic generalized  $\alpha$ -closed set in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ , but not  $I\alpha CS$  in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ , since  $cl(int(cl(\tilde{S}))) = \langle X, \{p, q\}, \{r\} \rangle \not\subseteq \tilde{S}$ .

**Remark 2.7:** If  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{B}$  are  $IG\alpha CS$ s then  $\tilde{A} \cup \tilde{B}$  is also an  $IG\alpha CS$ .

**Example 2.8:** Look at the ITS  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$  in the Example 2.2. If  $\tilde{U} = \langle X, \{p, q\}, \{\phi\} \rangle$ , then  $int(\tilde{U}) = \langle X, \{p, q\}, \{r\} \rangle$ ,  $cl(int(\tilde{U})) = \tilde{X}$ ,  $int(cl(int(\tilde{U}))) = \tilde{X} \supset \tilde{U}$ . Therefore  $\tilde{U}$  is an  $I\alpha OS$  in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ . Then the ISs  $\tilde{A} = \langle X, \{q\}, \{r\} \rangle$  and  $\tilde{B} = \langle X, \{p\}, \{r\} \rangle$  are  $IG\alpha CS$ s and  $\tilde{A} \cup \tilde{B} = \langle X, \{p, q\}, \{r\} \rangle$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{A} \cup \tilde{B}$  is an  $IG\alpha CS$  on  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 2.9:** Consider the ITS  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$  and let  $\tilde{M}$  be an  $IG\alpha CS$  in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ . Suppose that  $\tilde{N}$  is an  $I\alpha CS$ . Then  $\tilde{M} \cap \tilde{N}$  is an  $IG\alpha CS$ .

**Example 2.10:** Consider the ITS  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$  in the Example 2.2. Let  $\tilde{A} = \langle X, \{q\}, \{r\} \rangle$  be an  $IG\alpha CS$  and  $\tilde{B} = \langle \{q\}, \{p\} \rangle$  be an  $I\alpha CS$ . Then  $\tilde{A} \cap \tilde{B} = \langle X, \{q\}, \{p, r\} \rangle$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{A} \cap \tilde{B}$  is an  $IG\alpha CS$  on  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ .

We characterize  $Ig\alpha$ -closed sets in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.11:** Consider the ITS  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ . Let  $\tilde{A}$  be an IS.  $\tilde{A}$  is  $IG\alpha CS$  if

and only if  $Iacl(\tilde{A}) - \tilde{A}$  contains no non-empty  $I\alpha CS$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\tilde{B}$  be an  $I\alpha$ closed subset of  $Iacl(\tilde{A}) - \tilde{A}$ .

Now  $\tilde{A} \subset \tilde{X} - \tilde{B}$  and since  $\tilde{A}$  is  $IG\alpha CS$ , we have  $Iacl(\tilde{A}) \subseteq \tilde{X} - \tilde{B}$  or  $\tilde{B} \subset \tilde{X} - Iacl(\tilde{A})$ . Therefore,

$$\tilde{B} \subset Iacl(\tilde{A}) \cap (\tilde{X} - Iacl(\tilde{A})) = \phi$$

Thus  $\tilde{B}$  is empty.

Conversely, Let  $\tilde{A} \subset \tilde{U}$  where  $\tilde{U}$  is an  $I\alpha OS$  in  $X$ .

If  $Iacl(\tilde{A})$  not contained in  $\tilde{U}$ , then  $Iacl(\tilde{A}) \cap \tilde{U} = \phi$ .

Now since  $(Iacl(\tilde{A})) \cap (\tilde{X} - \tilde{U}) \subseteq Iacl(\tilde{A}) -$

$\tilde{A}$  and  $(Iacl(\tilde{A})) \cap (\tilde{X} - \tilde{U})$  is a non-empty  $I\alpha CS$ . This gives a contradiction.

**Theorem 2.12:** Consider the ITS  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ . Let  $\tilde{A}$  be an  $IG\alpha CS$  in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ . Then  $\tilde{A}$  is an  $I\alpha CS$  iff  $Iacl(\tilde{A}) - \tilde{A}$  is an  $I\alpha CS$ .

**Proof:**

**Necessary:** Let  $\tilde{A}$  be an  $I\alpha CS$ . Then  $Iacl(\tilde{A}) - \tilde{A} = \phi$  which is an  $I\alpha CS$ .

**Sufficiency:** Let  $Iacl(\tilde{A}) - \tilde{A}$  be an  $I\alpha CS$  and  $\tilde{A}$  be an  $IG\alpha CS$ . Then  $Iacl(\tilde{A}) - \tilde{A}$  does not contain any non-empty  $IG\alpha CS$ . Because  $Iacl(\tilde{A}) - \tilde{A}$  is an  $I\alpha CS$ .

$Iacl(\tilde{A}) - \tilde{A} = \phi$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{A}$  is an  $I\alpha CS$ .

# ON INTUITIONISTIC GENERALIZED $\alpha$ -CLOSED SETS AND $\alpha$ -OPEN SETS

**Theorem 2.13:** Consider the ITS  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ . Suppose that  $\tilde{B} \subseteq \tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{X}$ ,  $\tilde{B}$  is an IG $\alpha$ CS relative to  $\tilde{A}$  and that is  $\tilde{A}$  an IG $\alpha$ -closed subset of  $\tilde{X}$ . Then  $\tilde{B}$  is an IG $\alpha$ CS relative to  $\tilde{X}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\tilde{B} \subseteq \tilde{U}$  and suppose that  $\tilde{U}$  is I $\alpha$ OS in  $\tilde{X}$ . Then  $\tilde{B} \subseteq \tilde{A} \cap \tilde{U}$  and hence  $I\alpha cl_{\tilde{A}}(\tilde{B}) \subseteq \tilde{A} \cap \tilde{U}$ . It follows that  $\tilde{A} \cap I\alpha cl(\tilde{B}) \subseteq \tilde{A} \cap \tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{U} \cup \tilde{X} - I\alpha cl(\tilde{B})$ . Since,  $\tilde{A}$  is IG $\tilde{A}$   $\alpha$ CS in  $\tilde{X}$ , we have  $I\alpha cl(\tilde{A}) \subseteq \tilde{U} \cup \tilde{X} - I\alpha cl(\tilde{B})$ . Therefore,  $I\alpha cl(\tilde{B}) \subseteq I\alpha cl(\tilde{A}) \subseteq \tilde{U} \cup \tilde{X} - I\alpha cl(\tilde{B})$  and  $I\alpha cl(\tilde{B}) \subseteq \tilde{U}$ .

**Theorem 2.14:** Consider the ITS  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ . If  $\tilde{A}$  is IG $\alpha$ CS and  $\tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{B} \subseteq I\alpha cl(\tilde{A})$ , then  $\tilde{B}$  is IG $\alpha$ CS.

**Proof:** Let  $\tilde{A}$  be an IG $\alpha$ CS.  $I\alpha cl(\tilde{B}) - \tilde{B} \subseteq I\alpha cl(\tilde{A}) - \tilde{A}$  and since  $I\alpha cl(\tilde{A}) - \tilde{A}$  has no nonempty I $\alpha$ C subsets, neither does  $I\alpha cl(\tilde{B}) - \tilde{B}$ . Apply Theorem 2.11.

**Theorem 2.15:** Consider the ITS  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$  and  $\tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{Y} \subseteq \tilde{X}$ . If  $\tilde{A}$  is IG $\alpha$ CS in  $\tilde{X}$ , then  $\tilde{A}$  is IG $\alpha$ CS relative to  $\tilde{Y}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{Y} \cap \tilde{U}$  and suppose that  $\tilde{U}$  is I $\alpha$ -open in  $\tilde{X}$ . Then  $\tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{U}$ . Therefore  $I\alpha cl(\tilde{A}) \subseteq \tilde{U}$ . It follows that  $\tilde{X} \cap I\alpha cl(\tilde{A}) \subseteq \tilde{Y} \cap \tilde{U}$ .

### 3. Intuitionistic Generalised $\alpha$ -open sets

Here we present intuitionistic generalised  $\alpha$ -open sets and investigate some of their features.

**Definition 3.1:** Consider the ITS  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ . Let  $\tilde{A}$  be a IS in  $\tilde{X}$ . A set  $\tilde{A}$  is said to be Intuitionistic generalised  $\alpha$ -open set if the complement  $\tilde{A}^c$  is an intuitionistic generalised  $\alpha$ -closed set in  $\tilde{X}$ . The family of all intuitionistic generalised  $\alpha$ -open sets (IG $\alpha$ OS for short) of an ITS  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$  is denoted by IG $\alpha O(\tilde{X})$ .

**Example 3.2:** Let  $X = \{p, q, r\}$  and consider the family  $\tau = \{\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{P}, \tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}, \tilde{X}\}$  where  $\tilde{P} = \langle X, \{r\}, \{p, q\} \rangle$ ,  $\tilde{Q} = \langle X, \{p\}, \{q, r\} \rangle$ ,  $\tilde{R} = \langle X, \{p, r\}, \{q\} \rangle$ . Let  $\tilde{S} = \langle X, \{p\}, \{\phi\} \rangle$  be an IS in  $(X, \tau)$  and  $\tilde{S}^c = \langle X, \{\phi\}, \{p\} \rangle$  which is an IG $\alpha$ CS in  $\tilde{X}$ .

Therefore  $\tilde{S}$  is an intuitionistic Generalized  $\alpha$ -open set in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 3.3:** For any ITS  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ , we have the following:

- a) Every IOS in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$  is IG $\alpha$ OS.
- b) Every I $\alpha$ OS in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$  is IG $\alpha$ OS
- c) Every intuitionistic regular open set in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$  is IG $\alpha$ OS. But the converses are not true.

**Proof:** Straightforward

**Example 3.4:** Look at the ITS  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$  in the Example 2.2. Let  $\tilde{S} = \langle X, \{p, r\}, \{\phi\} \rangle$  be any IS in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ . Here  $\tilde{S}$  is an IG $\alpha$ OS in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ , but not IOS in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ .

## ON INTUITIONISTIC GENERALIZED $\alpha$ -CLOSED SETS AND $\alpha$ -OPEN SETS

**Example 3.5:** Look at the ITS  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$  in the Example 2.2. Let  $\tilde{S} = \langle X, \{r\}, \{q\} \rangle$  be any IS in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ . Here  $\tilde{S}$  is an IG $\alpha$ OS in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ , but not I $\alpha$ OS in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ . Here  $\tilde{S}$  is an IG $\alpha$ OS in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ , but not I $\alpha$ OS in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ .

**Example 3.6:** Look at the ITS  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$  in the Example 2.2. Let  $\tilde{S} = \langle X, \{r\}, \{p\} \rangle$  be any IS in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ . Here  $\tilde{S}$  is an IG $\alpha$ OS in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ , but not IROS in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ .

We characterize IG $\alpha$ -open sets in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.7:** Consider the ITS  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$  and let  $\tilde{A}$  be an IS in  $\tilde{X}$ .  $\tilde{A}$  is IG $\alpha$ OS iff  $\tilde{U} \subset I\alpha int(\tilde{A})$  whenever  $\tilde{U}$  is IG $\alpha$ CS and  $\tilde{A} - \tilde{B} = \tilde{A} \cap \overline{\tilde{B}}$  and  $\tilde{U} \subset \tilde{A}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\tilde{A}$  be an IG $\alpha$ OS.

Suppose  $\tilde{U} \subset \tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{U}$  is I $\alpha$ CS. By Definition  $\tilde{X} - \tilde{A}$  is an IG $\alpha$ CS. Also  $\tilde{X} - \tilde{A}$  is contained in the Intuitionistic  $\alpha$  open set  $\tilde{X} - \tilde{U}$ . This implies  $I\alpha cl(\tilde{X} - \tilde{A}) \subset \tilde{X} - \tilde{U}$ .

Now,  $I\alpha cl(\tilde{X} - \tilde{A}) = \tilde{X} - I\alpha int(\tilde{A})$ .

Hence  $\tilde{X} - I\alpha int(\tilde{A}) \subset \tilde{X} - \tilde{U}$ . That is  $\tilde{U} \subset I\alpha int(\tilde{A})$ .

Conversely suppose  $\tilde{U}$  is an IG $\alpha$ CS with  $\tilde{U} \subset I\alpha int(\tilde{A})$  whenever  $\tilde{U} \subset \tilde{A}$ , it follows that  $\tilde{X} - \tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{X} - \tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{X} - I\alpha int(\tilde{A}) \subset \tilde{X} - \tilde{U}$ .

That is  $I\alpha cl(\tilde{X} - \tilde{A}) \subset \tilde{X} - \tilde{U}$ .

Hence  $\tilde{X} - \tilde{A}$  is IG $\alpha$ CS. Therefore  $\tilde{A}$  becomes IG $\alpha$ OS.

**Theorem 3.8:** Consider the ITS  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ . If  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{B}$  are separated IG $\alpha$ OSs, then  $\tilde{A} \cup \tilde{B}$  is IG $\alpha$ OS in  $\tilde{X}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\tilde{U}$  be an I $\alpha$ CS of  $\tilde{A} \cup \tilde{B}$ . Then  $\tilde{U} \cap I\alpha cl(\tilde{A}) \subseteq \tilde{A}$  and hence  $\tilde{U} \cap I\alpha cl(\tilde{A}) \subseteq I\alpha cl(\tilde{A}) \cap (\tilde{A} \cup \tilde{B}) \subseteq \tilde{A} \cup \emptyset = \tilde{A}$ . Similarly,  $\tilde{U} \cap I\alpha cl(\tilde{B}) \subseteq \tilde{B}$ . Hence  $\tilde{U} \cap I\alpha cl(\tilde{A}) \subset I\alpha int(\tilde{A})$  and  $\tilde{U} \cap I\alpha cl(\tilde{B}) \subset I\alpha int(\tilde{B})$ .

Hence  $\tilde{U} = \tilde{U} \cap (\tilde{A} \cup \tilde{B}) = (\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{A}) \cup (\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{B}) \subset (I\alpha int(\tilde{A}) \cup I\alpha int(\tilde{B})) \subset I\alpha int(\tilde{A} \cup \tilde{B})$ .

Hence by Theorem 3.7,  $\tilde{A} \cup \tilde{B}$  is IG $\alpha$ OS.

**Theorem 3.9:** Consider the ITS  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ . If  $I\alpha int(\tilde{A}) \subset \tilde{B} \subset \tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{A}$  is an IG $\alpha$ OS, then  $\tilde{B}$  is an IG $\alpha$ OS.

**Proof:** By hypothesis,  $\overline{\tilde{A}} \subset \overline{\tilde{B}} \subset \overline{I\alpha int(\tilde{A})}$ . That is  $\overline{\tilde{A}} \subseteq \overline{\tilde{B}} \subseteq \overline{\tilde{X} - I\alpha cl(\tilde{A})} = I\alpha cl(\tilde{A})$ .

Now  $\overline{\tilde{A}}$  is an IG $\alpha$ CS and hence by Theorem 3.7,  $\overline{\tilde{B}}$  is an IG $\alpha$ OS.

**Theorem 3.10:** Let  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$  be an ITS and  $\tilde{A}$  be a IG $\alpha$ CS in  $\tilde{X}$  if and only if  $I\alpha cl(\overline{\tilde{A}}) - \tilde{A}$  is an IG $\alpha$ OS.

**Proof:** If  $\tilde{A}$  is IG $\alpha$ CS and  $\tilde{U}$  is an I $\alpha$ CS such that  $\tilde{U} \subseteq I\alpha cl(\tilde{A}) - \tilde{A}$  then by Theorem 2.13,  $\tilde{U} = \emptyset$  and hence  $\tilde{U} \subseteq I\alpha int(I\alpha cl(\tilde{A}) - \tilde{A})$ . Hence  $I\alpha cl(\tilde{A}) - \tilde{A}$  is an IG $\alpha$ OS. Conversely suppose  $I\alpha cl(\tilde{A}) - \tilde{A}$  is an IG $\alpha$ OS.

# ON INTUITIONISTIC GENERALIZED $\alpha$ -CLOSED SETS AND $\alpha$ -OPEN SETS

Let  $\tilde{A} \subset \tilde{O}$  where  $\tilde{O} \in \text{IG}\alpha\text{O}(X)$ .

That  $I\alpha cl(\tilde{A}) \cap \tilde{O}^c \subseteq I\alpha cl(\tilde{A}) \cap \tilde{A}^c$  is

$$I\alpha cl(\tilde{A}) \cap \tilde{O}^c \subseteq I\alpha cl(\tilde{A}) \cap \tilde{A}^c$$

Thus  $I\alpha cl(\tilde{A}) \cap \tilde{O}^c$  is an  $\text{I}\alpha\text{CS}$ .

$$I\alpha cl(\tilde{A}) \cap \tilde{A}^c = I\alpha cl(\tilde{A}) - \tilde{A}$$

Therefore, by theorem 3.7,

$$I\alpha cl(\tilde{A}) \cap \tilde{O}^c \subset I\alpha int(I\alpha cl(\tilde{A}) - \tilde{A}) = \phi.$$

Hence  $I\alpha cl(\tilde{A}) \subset \tilde{O}$  and  $\tilde{A}$  is an  $\text{IG}\alpha\text{CS}$ .

**Lemma 3.11:** Let  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$  be an ITS. A set  $\tilde{A}$  is  $\text{IG}\alpha\text{OS}$  if  $\tilde{U} \subseteq I\alpha int(\tilde{A})$  whenever  $\tilde{U}$  is an  $\text{I}\alpha\text{CS}$  and  $\tilde{U} \subseteq \tilde{A}$ .

**Theorem 3.12:** Consider the ITS  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$ . A set  $\tilde{A}$  is  $\text{IG}\alpha\text{OS}$  in  $(\tilde{X}, \tau)$  if and only if  $\tilde{U} = \tilde{X}$  whenever  $\tilde{U}$  is  $\text{I}\alpha\text{OS}$  and  $I\alpha int(\tilde{A} \cup (\tilde{X} - \tilde{A})) \subseteq \tilde{U}$ .

**Proof:** Suppose that  $\tilde{U}$  is  $\text{I}\alpha\text{OS}$  and that  $I\alpha int(\tilde{A} \cup (\tilde{X} - \tilde{A})) \subseteq \tilde{U}$ . Now  $\tilde{X} - \tilde{U} \subseteq I\alpha cl(\tilde{X} - \tilde{A}) \cap \tilde{A} = I\alpha cl(\tilde{X} - \tilde{A}) - (\tilde{X} - \tilde{A})$ . Since  $\tilde{X} - \tilde{U}$  is  $\text{I}\alpha\text{CS}$  and  $(\tilde{X} - \tilde{U})$  is  $\text{IG}\alpha\text{CS}$ , by Theorem 3.13 it follows that  $\tilde{X} - \tilde{A} = \tilde{\phi}$  or  $\tilde{X} = \tilde{U}$ .

Suppose that  $\tilde{F}$  is an  $\text{I}\alpha\text{CS}$  and  $\tilde{F} \subseteq \tilde{A}$ . By Lemma 3.13, it is sufficient to show that  $\tilde{F} \subseteq I\alpha int(\tilde{A})$ . Now  $I\alpha int(\tilde{A} \cup (\tilde{X} - \tilde{A})) \subseteq I\alpha int(\tilde{A} \cup (\tilde{X} - \tilde{F}))$  and hence  $I\alpha int(\tilde{A} \cup (\tilde{X} - \tilde{F})) = \tilde{X}$ . It follows that  $\tilde{F} \subseteq I\alpha int(\tilde{A})$ .

## References

1. D. Coker, "A note on intuitionistic sets and intuitionistic points", *TU. J. Math.* 20-3 (1996) 343-351

2. D. Coker, (2000) "An introduction to intuitionistic topological spaces", *Akdeniz Univ, Mathematics Dept.* pp. 51 – 56.

3. D. Kalamani, K. Sakthivel and C.S. Gowri, "Generalised Alpha Closed sets in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topological Spaces", *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 6, 2012, no. 94, 4691 – 4700.

4. Zanyar A. Ameen, "On types of Generalised Closed Sets", *Journal of Taibah University for Science*, 2018, vol. 12, No. 3, 290 – 298.

5. G. Sasikala and M. Navaneetha Krishnan, "Study on Intuitionistic  $\alpha$ -open sets and  $\alpha$ -closed Sets" *International Journal of Mathematical Archive* (2017), 26-30.

6. G. Sasikala and M. Navaneetha Krishnan, "On Intuitionistic Preopen Sets", *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Vol.116, No. 24, 2017, 281-292.

7. G. Sasikala and M. Navaneetha Krishnan, "Study on Intuitionistic Semiopen Sets", *IOSR Journal of Mathematics*, Vol.12, No. 6, 2017, pp 79-84.