PROFESSIONAL COMMITMENT AMONG HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL TEACHERS

Resear Pape

ABSTRACT

The main objective of the study is to find out the significance of the Professional Commission among higher secondary teachers. The survey method is adopted in this study. The sample consists of male and female teachers working in rural and urban areas of 5 districts in Tamil Nadu. The investigation has used the Professional Commitment tool developed by A. Punitha Mary and A. Amal Raj. 't' test, are used for analyzing the data. The major finding is that there is no significant difference among the secondary teachers with reference to their Professional Commitment.

INTRODUCTION

The development of any democratic country depends on the status and performance of its teachers. The role of a teacher is very important for the development of the child. For ages, the teacher's role has been regarded as an important one not only in moulding the personality of the child but also in shaping the society. Hence the professional commitment of the teacher is important in the educational field and the professional commitment performance depends on his or her multifarious tasks and responsibilities and so she has to update day to day professionally and personally.

SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY

Commitment is an internal force coming from within teachers themselves who have a need for greater responsibility, variety and challenge in their work. Commitment is defined as the affective bond between the teacher and the school. It depends on the degree of internal motivation, enthusiasm and job satisfaction. Professional commitment is the psychological link between an individual and his/her profession that is based on an affective reaction to that profession. Professional commitment reflects the strength of the bond between an employee and an organization. The commitment of the teacher can be identified as a 'passion', as an investment of time, as a focus on the individual needs of the student, as a responsibility to impart knowledge, attitudes, values and belief, as maintaining professional knowledge, and as an engagement with the school community. Quality education cannot be achieved without the efforts of dedicated and highly committed teachers.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- To find out the level of professional comm among higher secondary teachers with refe certain background variables.
- To find out the significant difference in proreference to
 - Gender a)
 - Marital Status b)
 - Subject c)
 - **Educational Board**
 - Location of the institution e)
 - Seminar participation f)

NULL HYPOTHESES OF THE STUDY

- There is no significant difference in the probOL USED commitment - learner - of higher secondary with reference to certain background variation
- There is no significant difference in the procommitment – social - of higher secondary with reference to certain background varia TA COLLECTION
- There is no significant difference in the pro variables.

There is no significant difference in the profession commitment - achievement - of higher second teachers with reference to certain backgrou

There is no significant difference in the particular commitment - human values - of higher s. cond teachers with reference to certain background variables.

There is no significant difference in the profession commitment of higher secondary teachers reference to certain background variables.

ETHODOLOGY

The suitability of a particular method of commitment of higher secondary teach pends on the purpose and scope of the study. sent investigation, the investigator selected descr thod using survey as a technique for investigation

MPLE

The investigator has used simple random san unique for selecting a sample of 665 Higher Second ool teachers from 5 districts of Tamil Nadu.

The investigator has employed the profe nmitment scale developed by A. Punitha Mary

After careful planning, the tool was admi teachers with reference to certain back he teachers of higher secondary schools in 5

ATISTICAL TECHNIQUE USED

The investigator has used percentage an, standard deviation and 't' test to analyse

esearch and Reflections on Education IS

D. Plasilda

Research Scholar, Madurai Kamaraj Univ Madurai

Dr. P. Muthupandi

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Education, Madur POTHESES TESTING Kamaraj University, Madurai

Research and Reflections on Education ISSN 0974 - 648 X Vol. 13 No. 03

July - Sep 20.

- There is no significant difference in the professional commitment achievement of higher secondary teachers with reference to certain background variables.
 - There is no significant difference in the professional commitment human values of higher secondary teachers with reference to certain background variables.
- There is no significant difference in the professional commitment of higher secondary teachers with reference to certain background variables.

METHODOLOGY

The suitability of a particular method of study lepends on the purpose and scope of the study. In the resent investigation, the investigator selected descriptive nethod using survey as a technique for investigation.

AMPLE

The investigator has used simple random sampling echnique for selecting a sample of 665 Higher Secondary chool teachers from 5 districts of Tamil Nadu.

OOL USED

The investigator has employed the professional ommitment scale developed by A. Punitha Mary and A. Amalraj

DATA COLLECTION

After careful planning, the tool was administered the teachers of higher secondary schools in 5 districts of Tamil Nadu with the help of the heads of the institutions.

TATISTICAL TECHNIQUE USED

The investigator has used percentage analysis, nean, standard deviation and 't' test to analyse the data.

TYPOTHESES TESTING

Table 1

Research

LEVEL OF PROFESSIONAL

COMMITMENT OF HIGHER SECONDARY
SCHOOL TEACHERS IN TERMS OF CERTAIN
BACKGROUND VARIABLES

| SI. | Background | mit deals | L | ow | Mod | erate | H | igh |
|-----|-------------------|-----------------|-----|------|-----|-------|-----|------|
| No. | Variables | Categories | Cou | % | Cou | % | Cou | % |
| 1 | Gender | Male | 68 | 23.4 | 157 | 54.1 | 65 | 22.4 |
| | | Female | 78 | 20.8 | 224 | 59.7 | 73 | 19.5 |
| 2 | Marital | Married | 31 | 19.6 | 93 | 58.9 | 34 | 21.5 |
| | Status | Unmarried | 110 | 21.7 | 290 | 57.2 | 107 | 21.1 |
| 3 | Subject | Arts | 53 | 20.2 | 151 | 57.4 | 59 | 22.4 |
| | | Science | 88 | 21.9 | 228 | 56.7 | 86 | 21.4 |
| 4 | Educational | State | 130 | 21.5 | 350 | 57.9 | 125 | 20.7 |
| | Board | CBSE | 13 | 21.7 | 34 | 56.7 | 13 | 21.7 |
| _ | Location | Rural | 42 | 20.7 | 115 | 56.7 | 46 | 22.7 |
| 5 | of Institution | Urban | 101 | 21.9 | 264 | 57.1 | 97 | 21.0 |
| | Seminars | Attended | 55 | 21.2 | 151 | 58.3 | 53 | 20.5 |
| 6 | Attended | Not Attended | 89 | 21.9 | 236 | 58.1 | 81 | 20.0 |

The above table reveals that the level of professional commitment of the higher secondary teachers with reference to certain background variables is in moderate level.

Table - 2

SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE BETWEEN

THE HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL TEACHERS IN PROFESSIONAL

COMMITMENT-LEARNER-

WITH REFERENCE TO CERTAIN BACKGROUND VARIABLES

| SI. No. | Variables | Categories | N | Mean | SD | Calcu lated 't' value | Table Value | Remark | |
|------------|-------------|-----------------|-----|-------|-------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------|--|
| 1 | Gender | Male | 290 | 49.38 | 10.02 | | | | |
| | | Female | 375 | 50.47 | 9.96 | 1.397 | | N.S | |
| 2 | Marital | Married | 158 | 49.70 | 10.23 | | | | |
| | status | Unmarried | 507 | 50.09 | 9.93 | 0.42 | | N.S | |
| 3 | Subject | Arts | 263 | 50.77 | 9.75 | | | | |
| | Subject | Science | 402 | 49.49 | 10.13 | 1.624 | | N.S | |
| 4 | Educational | State | 605 | 49.95 | 10.01 | | 80 1.96 | 1.96 | |
| | Board | CBSE | 60 | 50.46 | 9.87 | 0.380 | | N.S | |
| 5 | Location of | Rural | 203 | 50.50 | 9.72 | , | | | |
| Tel | Institution | Urban | 462 | 49.77 | 10.12 | 0.880 | 1111 | N.S | |
| 6 | Seminars | Attended | 259 | 49.71 | 10.16 | | | | |
| 13.5 | attended | Not Attended | 406 | 50.18 | 9.90 | 0,592 | | N.S | |

Research and Reflections on Education ISSN 0974 - 648 X

Vol. 13 No. 03

July - Sep 2015

19

Since the calculated value of 't' at 5% level of significance is less than the table value, there is no significant difference between the higher secondary teachers in professional commitment-learner-with reference to Gender, Marital Status, Subject, Educational Board, Location of the Institution, and Participation in Seminars.

Table 3 SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL TEACHERS IN PROFESSIONAL COMMITMENT - SOCIAL - WITH REFERENCE TO CERTAIN BACKGROUND VARIABLES

| SL No | Variables | Cate gories | N | Mean | SD | Calcu lated 't' value | Table Value | Remark |
|----------|-------------------|-------------|-----|-------|-------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------|
| 140 | | Male | 290 | 50.08 | 9.97 | 0.185 | | N.S |
| 1 | Gender | Female | 375 | 49.93 | 10.03 | 0.100 | | |
| | | Married | 158 | 49.82 | 10.07 | 0.245 | | N.S |
| 2 | Marital | Un married | 507 | 50.05 | 9.98 | | | |
| 3 | | Arts | 263 | 49.67 | 10.26 | 0.671 | 1.96 | N.S |
| | Subject | Science | 402 | 50.21 | 9.82 | | | - |
| | Educationa | State | 605 | 49.99 | 10.02 | 0,076 | | N.S |
| 4 | 1 Board | CBSE | 60 | 50.09 | 9.83 | | | - |
| - | Location | Rural | 203 | 50.23 | 10.08 | 0.4 | | N.S |
| 5 | of Institution | Urban | 462 | 49.89 | 9.97 | | | |
| 6 | BISCHULION . | Attended | 259 | 50.1 | 10.22 | | | |
| | Seminars | | 406 | 49.93 | 9,86 | 0.207 | | N.S |

Since the calculated value of 't' at 5% level of significance is less than the table value, there is no significance difference between the higher secondary teachers in professional commitment-Social-with reference to Gender, Marital status, Subject, Educational Board, Location of the Institution, Participation in Seminar.

It is inferred from the table below that the calculated value of 't' at 5% level of significance is less than the table value, hence there is no significant difference between the higher secondary teachers in professional commitment - Professional - with reference to Gender, Marital Status, Subject, Educational Board, Location of the Institution, and Participation in Seminars.

Table 4

SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE HIGHER

SECONDARY SCHOOLTEACHERS
PROFESSIONAL COMMITMENT
PROFESSIONAL - WITH REFERENCE

Resea

Pap

CERTAIN BACKGROUND VARIAB minars.

| SI | - 1 | Variables | Cate gories | N | Mean | SD | Calculated 19 | Tahi Valu |
|-----|-----------|--------------------|----------------|-----|---------|--------|---------------|--------------|
| 1.0 | | | Male | 290 | 49.97 | 10.07 | 0.065 | |
| 1 | | Gender | Female | 375 | 50.02 | 9.95 | 0.000 | 1 |
| | 2 Marital | | Married | 158 | 50.19 | 10.25 | 0.278 | 1 |
| 1 | | | Unmarried | 507 | 49.93 | 9.92 | | 1 |
| H | _ | status | Arts | 263 | 50.33 | 9.79 | 0.7 | |
| | 3 | Subject | Science | 402 | 49.78 | | | |
| H | _ | Education | | 605 | 50.06 | 10.01 | 0.498 | 1 |
| 1 | 4 | 1 Board | CBSE | 60 | 49.39 | | | - |
| + | | Location | Rural | 203 | 50.84 | 9.93 | 1.453 | |
| | 5 | Institution | Urban | 462 | 2 49.62 | 2 10.0 | 1 | |
| - | | | Attended | 25 | 9 50.4 | 3 9.82 | N. Car | |
| | 6 | Seminar Attende | 27.4 | 40 | 6 49.7 | 1 10.1 | 0.91 | 1 |

Table 5

THE HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL
TEACHERS IN PROFESSIONAL
COMMITMENT - ACHIEVEMEN
WITH REFERENCE TO CERTAL
BACKGROUND VARIABLES

| SI. No. | Variables | Cate gories | N | M | lean | SD | | Calculat ed 't' value | Tal Val |
|------------|-------------------|----------------|-----|----|-------|-------|------|-----------------------------|---------|
| _ | | Male | 290 | | 49.34 | | 9.96 | 1.48 | |
| 1 | Gender | Female | 375 | | 50.5 | | 10 | | 1 |
| • | | Married | 158 | | 49.54 | | 9.72 | 0.67 | |
| 2 | Marital status | Un married | 507 | 1 | 50.14 | | | 0.67 | - |
| | | Arts | 263 | 3 | 49.98 | | 10 | - 0.0 | |
| 3 | Subject | Science | 403 | 2 | 50.01 | | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 4 | Edu | State | 60 | 5 | 49.9 | 6 | 10.0 | _ | |
| | Board | CBSE | 6 | o | 50.3 | 19 | 9.7 | 15 | - |
| | Location | Rural | 20 | 3 | 50.65 | | 9.8 | 1.13 | |
| 5 | of Institution | Urban | 46 | 52 | | | 10.0 | 07 | - |
| | Seminars | Attended | 25 | 59 | 49. | 36 | 10. | 26 1.29 | 0 |
| 6 | Attended | Not | | | 51 | 24 | 9 | 81 | |
| | | Attended | 1 4 | 06 | 30 | 0.4 9 | | | - |

Since the calculated value of 't' at 5% let onificance is less than the table value, there is no signiference between the higher secondary school ter professional commitment - Achievement - Perence to Gender, Marital Status, Subject, Edward, Location of the Institution, Participal Mininars.

Table 6

SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE BETWE IGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL TEAC IN PROFESSIONAL COMMITMEN HUMAN VALUES - WITH REFERENC CERTAIN BACKGROUND VARIAB

| 1 | /ariables | C | ntegories | 1 | N | M | lean | S | D | late | lcu d "t" | Tat Val | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|-----|--|-----|-------|---------------------------|--------|-------|--------------|------------|-------|--|-------|--|-------|--|-------|--|---|-----|---|-----|----|--|----|--|
| Gender | | Male | | 29 | 0 | 49. | 34 | 9.9 | 7 | 0 | 205 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ender | Fer | emale | | emale | | emale | | Female | | emale | | emale | | emale | | emale | | emale | | 5 | 50. | 5 | 10. | 03 | | 20 | |
| 1 | Marital | Mi | arried | 15 | 8 | 49. | 64 | 9.8 | 80 | | 514 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 100 | status | Ur | nmarried | 50 |)7 | 50 | 1 | 10.06 | | 0.314 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | A | rts | 2 | 63 | 49 | .72 | 10 | 0.38 | | 0.574 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Subject | S | cience | 4 | 263 49.72 10.38 402 50.18 9.75 605 49.99 10.10 60 50.05 8.94 203 51.13 10.90 462 49.5 9.54 259 49.29 10.15 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | Education | State CBSE | | . 6 | - | | 9.99 | 10.1 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| V | l Board | | | | | | 50.05 | | 8.94 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | Rural | | 203 | | 51.13 | | 10.9 | 0 | 1.84 | 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | Institution | on | Urban | | 462 | | 49.5 | | 9.54 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Table Semin | | Attended | | | 259 | , | 49.29 | 49.29 10.15 50.44 9.88 | | 15 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Not Attended | 1 4 | | 6 | 50.4 | | | | | 39 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Since the calculated value of 't' a ificance is less than the table value, there erence between the higher secondary so rofessional commitment - Human rence to Gender, Marital Status, Subject, Location of the Institution, and Injury.

search and Reflections on Educat

Research and Reflections on Education ISSN 0974 - 648 X Vol. 13 No. 03

July - Sep 2

Since the calculated value of 't' at 5% level of significance is less than the table value, there is no significant difference between the higher secondary school teachers in professional commitment - Achievement - with reference to Gender, Marital Status, Subject, Educational Board, Location of the Institution, Participation in Seminars.

Table 6

SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE BETWEEN HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL TEACHERS IN PROFESSIONAL COMMITMENT HUMAN VALUES - WITH REFERENCE TO CERTAIN BACKGROUND VARIABLES

| 1 | Ni Ni | | Variables | Categories | N | Mean | SD | Calcu lated 't' value | Table Value | Remark |
|-----|----------|---------|-------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------|
| 1 | | 1 0 | Gender | Male | 290 | 49.34 | 9.97 | 0.295 | | N.O. |
| | | | | Female | 375 | 50.5 | 10.03 | 0,293 | | N.S |
| | | 2 | Marital | Married | 158 | 49.64 | 9.80 | | | |
| | | | status | Unmarried | 507 | 50.1 | 10.06 | 0.514 | | N.S |
| VE | I 3 | Subject | Arts | 263 | 49.72 | 10.38 | 0.574 | | | |
|)(| JL_ | | Subject | Science | 402 | 50.18 | 9.75 | 0.574 | | N.S |
| L | | 4 | Education | State . | 605 | 49.99 | 10.10 | 0.051 1.96 | 1.96 | NG |
| V | | | l Board | CBSE | 60 | 50.05 | 8.94 | | | N.S |
| I | | 5 | 101 | Rural | 203 | 51.13 | 10.90 | | | N.S |
| 5 | | | Institution | Urban | 462 | 49.5 | 9.54 | | | |
| at | ole | 1 | Seminar | Attended | 259 | 49.29 | 10.15 | | | |
| /al | ue | | Attende | | 406 | 50.44 | 9.88 | 1.439 | | N.S |
| | | 5 | | | | | | 100 | | |

Since the calculated value of 't' at 5% level of significance is less than the table value, there is no significant lifference between the higher secondary school teachers in professional commitment - Human values - with reference to Gender, Marital Status, Subject, Educational Board, Location of the Institution, and Participation in Beminars.

Table 7 SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE HIGHER

Research Paper

SECONDARY SCHOOL TEACHERS IN PROFESSIONAL COMMITMENT WITH REFERENCE TO CERTAIN BACKGROUND VARIABLES

| SI. No | Variables | Cate gories | N | Mean | SD | Calcu lated 't' value | Table Value | Re mark | | | |
|-----------|-------------------|-----------------|-----|-------|-------|--------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----|--|-----|
| 1 | Gender | Male | 290 | 49.67 | 10.15 | 0.707 | | | | | |
| | | Female | 375 | 50.24 | 9.88 | 0.727 | AL RECEI | N.S | | | |
| _ | Marital | Married | 158 | 49.77 | 9.91 | | | | | | |
| .2 | status | Un married | 507 | 50.32 | 10.00 | 0.325 | | | | | N.S |
| 3 | Subject | Arts | 263 | 50.15 | 10.17 | | | | | | |
| | Subject | Science | 402 | 49.90 | 9.89 | 0.317 | 0.317 | | N.S | | |
| 4 | Educational | State | 605 | 49.99 | 10.03 | | | THE REAL PROPERTY. | | | |
| 7 | Board | CBSE | 60 | 50.03 | 9.67 | 0.033 | N.S | | | | |
| 5 | Location | Rural | 203 | 50.83 | 10.05 | 1 80 | Biller! | | | | |
| | of Institution | Urban | 462 | 49.63 | 9.96 | 1.415 | | N.S | | | |
| 6 | Seminars | Attended | 259 | 49.81 | 10.11 | | | | | | |
| | Attended | Not Attended | 406 | 50.17 | 10.10 | 0.370 | | N.S | | | |

Since the calculated value of 't' at 5% level of significance is less than the table value, there is no significant difference between the higher secondary teachers in professional commitment—total—with reference to Gender, Marital Status, Subject, Educational Board, Location of the Institution, and Participation in Seminars.

FINDINGS

- 1: More than 50 % of the higher secondary school teachers have a moderate level of professional commitment.
- 2. There is no significant difference between male and female higher secondary school teachers with reference to their professional commitment and its dimensions.
- There is no significant difference between married and unmarried higher secondary school teachers with reference to their professional commitment and its dimensions.
- 4. There is no significant difference between arts and science higher secondary school teachers with reference to their professional commitment and its dimensions.
- There is no significant difference between state board and CBSE higher secondary school teachers with reference to their professional commitment and its dimensions.

Research and Reflections on Education ISSN 0974 - 648 X

Vol. 13 No. 03

July - Sep 2015

21

- 6. There is no significant difference between rural and urban higher secondary school teachers with reference to their professional commitment and its dimensions.
- 7. There is no significant difference between seminars attended and not attended higher secondary school teachers with reference to their professional commitment and its dimensions.

CONCLUSION

The investigator has attempted to study the professional commitment of higher secondary school teachers of five districts in Tamil Nadu. All the null hypotheses are accepted. More than 50 % of higher secondary school teachers have a moderate level of professional commitment. This shows that higher secondary school teachers of Tamil Nadu are committed towards their profession. As per the hypotheses testing the higher secondary school teachers invariably do not differ among themselves in their professional commitment.

REFERENCE

- 1. Aggarwal, J.C. (1996). Theory and principles of education, Vikas Publishing House, New Delhi
- 2. Best, John, W., & James. V. Khan (2005) Research in education, New Delhi: Prentice Hall of India Private Limited
- 3. Garret, H.E. (1969) Statistics in Psychology and Education Bombay: Vakils, Feffer and Simons
- 4. Kothari, C.R., (2008) Research methods and Technologies, Wishwa Prakasham Private limited,
- 5. Sharma, S.R, (2003) Statistical Methods in Educational Research . New Delhi: Anmol Publication Private Limited
- 6. www.alaer.net/ejournal/Vol22-10-7.pdf
- 7. www.theglobaljournals.com/ijar/file.phd
- 8. www.emeraldinsight.com/doi/pdf/10.1108 /0959384121204335
- 9. ww.nibi.nim.nih.gov>ncbi>literature>pubmed www.theglobaljournals.com/ijar/file.php

Continuation of Page 22

has a definite purpose or goal. It involves one's knowledge and experiences. As far as social n concerned, students require a series of plan organized experiences. Hence it can be concl there is some relationship between social man achievement in science of secondary school students



Resea

Papi

XAVIER JOURNAL OF RES (ANNUA)

Published

FR. BONHOURE CENTE ST. XAVIER'S COLLEGE OF EI

(Re-accredited (3rd Cycle) by NAAG

REFERENCE

- Aggarwal, J.C. (2001). Theory and prineducation. New Delhi, Vikas Publ shin Pvt. Ltd.
- Best, J. W. & Kahn. J. V. (2011). Pest education (10thed.). New Delhi: Education.
- Freeman, Frank. S. (1965). Theory and of Psychological Testing 3rded. Calcutto & IBH Publishing Co.
- Garret, H.E, (2012). Statistics in psychol education. New Delhi: Surjeet Publican
- Arena, T. (2000). Social Maturity Prediction of Academic Achievement. Vol.12, (no2), pp.30-37.

SUBSCRIPTI

Individual: Annaul:

Institution: Annual:

Life

Life

Mode of Payment

Demand Draft "The Princip St. Xavier's C

Payable at Pa

"All of us do not have equal talent. But, have an equal opportunity to develop our -A.P.J Abdul

"Without your involvement you can't so With your involvement you can't fail. -A.P.J Abdul

"Man needs difficulties in life because" necessary to enjoy the success."

-A.P.J Abdul

GUIDEL

The Ph.D. Awar Research abstrac their Research S Ph.D. Certificate title, major obje satistical tec

Research and Reflections on Education ISSN 0974 - 648 X July - Sep 20 Vol. 13 No. 03